

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

10/042,433 01/10/2002 Gopinath Baddepudi 6956

7590 05/23/2003

BADDEPUDI GOPINATH 301-SARALARAMAM APARTMENTS 16-11-310-8 SALIMNAGAR HYDERABAD, A.P., 500036 INDIA

		6956			
	EXAMINER				
DRUAN, THOMAS J					
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	3724	4	_		
DAT	ΓE MAILED: 05/23/200	3			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/042,433	BADDEPUDI, GOPINATH			
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
TI MANUAL DATE CHI	Thomas J. Druan, Jr.	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." However, the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, and have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

The form of the claims as currently written is inaccurate, as it appears that multiple embodiments are being claimed in one claim. While a claim can be broad enough to cover multiple disclosed embodiments, the claim must positively claim a

___.

Art Unit: 3724

single device. Also, the examiner notes that the term "consisting of" if limiting to only the structural limitations that follow the term; however, the term "comprising" is an open term, which allows depending claims to add further structure. As such, it is recommended that claim 1 should amend the preamble of the claim to read simply --A multi-knife cutting device comprising:--. See cited patents as a guide to standard formats.

Claim 2 is indefinite as it does not positively claim any structure, but only lists what cutting actions can take place. Structure claims must contain definite structure. If a blade moves in a straight line, one should state how or why the blade moves in a straight line, i.e. in a guide track, along a guide post, et cetera.

Claim 4 refers to a "fourth embodiment" which hasn't been described in the claims, and in fact has no place in the claims. As before, the claimed structure is what limits a claim, and no reference can be made to any structure that has not been previously and explicitly claimed.

Claim 5 does not positively claim any structure. Simply stating how a previously disclosed structure will act does not further limit the claim without any reference as to what makes those actions happen the way they do. For instance, stating that the blades do not touch the board would be better claimed if some structure that limits the movement of the blades is disclosed.

Claim 6 does not provide any reference as to what "top" and "bottom" refer to as no other structure is provided to oppose the blades. While a slotted platform has been claimed, its relation to the assembly of knives has not been claimed.

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,383,365 to Metzigian.

Metzigian discloses the invention as claimed including an assembly of six knives 34 (fig. 5) and a board 11 with a thin-walled plastic slotted platform 12. Each knife cuts independently, as they do not require the aid of another knife to perform a cutting action. The knives can be replaced easily with other knives having different spacing (see figures 3 and 5). The knives do not touch the board. The knives use a lever action to cut. The assembly 10 can be used equally well either right-side-up with the knives on top or turned over with the knives on the bottom.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Druan, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-308-4200. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) First Friday Off.

Art Unit: 3724

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

tid

May 19, 2003

BOYER ASHLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER